

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/602,335	FORSTALL ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
JENNIFER N. TO	2195	

All Participants:

(1) JENNIFER N. TO.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) BRIAN J. GUSTAFON.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 May 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

38

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

APPLICANT AGREED TO AMEND CLAIM 38 ACCORDNACE TO EXAMINER AMENDMENT TO CORRECT TYPOGRAPHICAL ERROR IN THE PREVIOUS EXAMINER AMENDMENT (I.E. CLAIM 38, LINE 12 REPLACE "MEANS" WITH "DEVICE").

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)